	Case 4.10 of 00014 Biviivi Boodinent 100 Thea 00/10/10 Tage 1 of 10
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA
3	GREAT FALLS DIVISION
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
6	Plaintiff,
7) Criminal Docket vs.) No. CR 18-14-GF-BMM
8	STANLEY PATRICK WEBER,
9) Court of Appeals Defendant.) No. 19-30022
10	
11	
12	Partial Transcript of Trial with a Jury Pretrial Conference
13	<u></u>
14	Missouri River Federal Courthouse 125 Central Avenue West
15	Great Falls, MT 59404
16	Tuesday, September 4, 2018 8:30 a.m. to 8:51 a.m.
17	
18	BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRIAN MORRIS
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
20	
21	Yvette Heinze, RPR, CSR
22	United States Court Reporter Missouri River Federal Courthouse 125 Central Avenue West
23	Great Falls, MT 59404
	yvette_heinze@mtd.uscourts.gov (406) 454-7805
24	Proceedings recorded by machine shorthand Transcript produced by computer-assisted transcription
25	Transcript produced by computer-assisted transcription
	,1

Ī	
1	APPEARANCES
2	PRESENT ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE UNITED STATE OF AMERICA:
3	THE UNITED STATE OF AMERICA.
4	Jeff Starnes Assistant U.S. Attorney
5	OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY 119 1st Avenue North, Suite 300
6	Great Falls, Montana 59401
7	Lori A. Harper Suek
8	Lori A. Harper Suek Assistant U.S. Attorney U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
9	2601 Second Avenue North, Suite 3200 Billings, MT 59101
0	
11	PRESENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
12	Harvey A. Steinberg SPRINGER AND STEINBERG
3	1600 Broadway, Suite 1200 Denver, CO 80202
4 5	Nicole L. Siefert
16	RHOADES, SIEFERT & ERICKSON, P.L.L.C. 430 North Ryman, Second Floor Missoula, MT 59802
17	Ryan T. Cox
18	SPRINGER AND STEINBERG 1600 Broadway, Suite 1200 Denver, CO 80202
19	Deriver, CO 80202
20	-000-
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	<u>= =</u>	
3	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u> <u>PAGE</u>	
4	Pretrial Conference 4 Reporter's Certificate 19	
5		
6		
7	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
8	GOVERNMENT'S ADMITTED	
9	Government's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS 1 (In chambers.) 2 (Defendant present.) 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 Be seated. Welcome back. 5 MR. STARNES: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 MR. STEINBERG: Thank you. THE COURT: Are we ready to proceed, Mr. Starnes? 8 MR. STARNES: I believe so, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Steinberg? 10 MR. STEINBERG: Okay. 11 12 THE COURT: Length of trial? MR. STARNES: I think we'll have it to the jury by 13 Thursday, Your Honor. So I think about two days for government 14 testimony. 15 Mr. Steinberg? 16 THE COURT: MR. STEINBERG: I agree. 17 THE COURT: Okay. You have done voir dire before. 18 19 I'll do voir dire. It will probably take a couple Then each side will get a chance to do their own voir hours. 20 Any challenges for cause you make at sidebar. It's up 21 dire. to you to lay foundation before the challenge. You can either 22 wait until you've finished with all of your voir dire, or, if 23 you want to do it at that moment, you can ask for a sidebar. I 24 don't want to make you try to challenge a juror in open court.

And then, if I deny it, then the juror may not be so thrilled with all of you. 2 So whatever you do, don't finish voir dire and turn 3 and say, "We pass the jury for cause," then come back when I go 4 through the challenges and tell me you have a challenge for 5 6 cause. 7 Are you clear on that? MR. STEINBERG: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Preemptory challenges, pass the 9 list back and forth. You get 11, and the government gets 7. 10 Please try to speed that process up as much as you can. We do 11 12 that in court. The jury is at ease. The white noise machine is on. I haven't been able to replicate Judge Watters's 13 process. We don't have a jury room on this floor. It's quite 14 an ordeal to get everyone back downstairs. 15 Okay. Any questions about voir dire? 16 MR. STARNES: No, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 MR. STEINBERG: I do. THE COURT: Yes. 20 21 MR. STEINBERG: In terms of our voir dire, is it limited to the 12 in the box? 22 THE COURT: No, it's limited to -- we call up 31 23 people. 24 25 The jury list is, roughly, 50, Madam Clerk?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: So we call up 31. They have been selected. We don't spin the wheel anymore. They have a computer that does that. 31 people are called up to the chairs, so it's limited to those 31.

MR. STEINBERG: Okay.

THE COURT: If one of them is removed for some reason, we call a replacement. And then we will -- I will welcome the substitute and then ask them if any of the issues we discussed apply to them, depending on where we are in the process. Sometimes I will -- if it's late in the process, you know, we're almost done, I might even call that person directly to the sidebar with counsel and question them there.

One thing, when I'm questioning the jury, I typically will not remove someone immediately to avoid a stampede of people who have medical conditions or some physical ailment. That prevents them from -- I'll wait until I'm finished questioning. And then, either at sidebar or on my own, I'll excuse that person for cause. So if someone says, you know, "I've got a medical condition" and they're going on about it, don't be surprised if I say, "Thank you very much," and move on. I'll pick that up at the end of the process.

MR. STEINBERG: Then, in terms of the exercise of our preempts, are they individual? One at a time? Or you do one, two --

```
THE COURT: It's one -- we pass the sheet back and
 1
    forth the same number of times.
 2
              THE CLERK: Seven.
 3
              THE COURT: Seven times. So you have a couple
 4
 5
    times -- one, two -- one, one, two, one, two, one -- however
    the math works out.
 6
 7
              MR. STEINBERG: Okay. And then, finally, in terms of
8
    the exercise of the preempts, are they limited to the 12 in the
    box in the sense that --
9
              THE COURT: No, no, any of the 31.
10
              MR. STEINBERG:
11
                              Okav.
12
              THE COURT: We pick -- we will select 13 jurors, and
    one of whom will be excused at the end of the evidence.
13
              MR. STEINBERG: Do we know who the alternate is?
14
              THE COURT: No, we don't. That person is selected at
15
    that point. I will explain to the jurors that while 13 of them
16
    have to pay careful attention, one of them will be excused
17
    before deliberations.
18
19
              MR. STEINBERG: Just -- sorry. Do counsel know who
    the --
20
              THE COURT:
21
                          No.
                               No one does. No one except the
   computer.
22
              Any questions about the jury selection process?
23
              MR. STARNES:
                            No.
24
              MS. SUEK: No.
25
```

THE COURT: I thought I heard a... 1 Okay. With regard to the indictment, my practice is 2 typically to summarize the indictment. 3 Any objection to that? 4 MS. SUEK: No. 5 MR. STARNES: No. Your Honor. 6 7 MR. STEINBERG: No. sir. THE COURT: I'll explain that there's a -- the 8 allegations against Mr. Weber and the time frame involved and 9 10 explain that the alleged victims are now adults so don't be surprised if they appear differently than in the charges. 11 12 With regard to the alleged victims, there are witnesses that are in custody. That's not a surprise to 13 14 anyone. I assume they will be in jail clothing? 15 MR. STARNES: That's correct, Your Honor. 16 MS. SUEK: That's what we told them. 17 18 THE COURT: Then, any objection if we just have the 19 marshal escort them to the witness stand? 20 MR. STARNES: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have done that several times in the 21 past without any problem. There's no surprise to anyone, 22 including the jurors, that they are in custody anyway. 23 MS. SUEK: Right. 24 25 THE COURT: All right. Did you receive copies of the

```
preliminary instructions?
 1
              MS. SUEK: Yes.
 2
              MR. STARNES: We did, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you review those between
 4
    now and the time you go back into court. If you have any
 5
    objections, let me know.
 6
              Exclusion of witnesses?
 7
              MS. SUEK: Yes, please.
8
              MR. STARNES: Yes, Your Honor.
9
              THE COURT: Okay. I have your witnesses. Any of
10
    them here?
11
12
              MR. STEINBERG:
                              (Shaking head.)
              THE COURT: Just make sure they are out.
13
              MR. COX:
                        No.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Evidentiary issues: Anything
15
    besides the 403/404 and 413/414 witnesses? I signed an order
16
    allowing Dr. --
17
18
              MR. STARNES: -- Eigenbrode to testify via
    videoconferencing. No objection to that, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: And does Dr. Eigenbrode have to testify
20
    on a particular day or just when your case comes up?
21
22
              MR. STEINBERG: I will tell you, I don't know that
    she will testify. It depends on cross-examination. She's a
23
    fact witness in terms of what was said ---
24
25
              THE COURT: My only question is whether you need to
```

have her at a particular time or out of order? 1 MR. STEINBERG: No, sir. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 3 Anything besides the -- with regard to evidence, any 4 5 evidentiary issues besides the witnesses? MR. STARNES: Well, just so the Court is aware, we 6 7 did file a notice of expert disclosure for Dr. Foster. THE COURT: Oh, right. 8 MR. STARNES: Again, that's going to depend largely 9 on the cross-examination of Mr. Four Horns. Mr. Four Horns 10 will be our first witness. So I think we will have the issues 11 12 established from the get-go, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. I assume your first two 13 witnesses are the alleged victims? 14 MR. STARNES: That's our intent, yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: So what I would intend to do is take a 16 break after the second witness is finished, and we'll talk 17 18 about the 404(b) again and the 413/414 issues. 19 MR. STARNES: 0kay. 20 THE COURT: And then I gave you my tentative rulings last time back in August on the 404(b) and 413/414 witnesses. 21 Are we clear on those rulings? 22 MS. SUEK: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Has anything changed since that time? 24 25 MS. SUEK: The only change is that everyone is aware

```
that we're not calling Mr. True Blood. He was a potential
 1
    notice-up for --
 2
              MR. STARNES: That's right.
 3
              THE COURT: Those are the medical records?
 4
              MR. STARNES: That's correct.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. And then I don't think I have
6
 7
    given any rulings yet on the South Dakota.
              MS. SUEK: You haven't. But just to be clear, he's
8
    not here. We're not going to offer.
9
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Steinberg, clear on those
10
    rulings?
11
12
              MR. STEINBERG: Yes, sir.
              THE COURT: Okay. And we will give you another crack
13
    at persuading me after the government's potential two
14
   witnesses.
15
              MR. STARNES: And if I could just be clear, Your
16
   Honor, it's my recollection the Court has already told us that
17
    Justin Meineke, who was the late disclosure, the touching
18
    incident in Missoula, he will be allowed to testify?
19
20
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
                            Okay.
              MR. STARNES:
21
              THE COURT: And counsel's clearly able to
22
   cross-examine him regarding the late disclosure.
23
              MR. STARNES: Certainly.
24
25
              THE COURT: All right. Any other evidentiary issues?
```

MR. STARNES: No, Your Honor. 1 MR. STEINBERG: Yes. One of the witnesses that the 2 government is going call is a Mr. Crawford. Mr. Crawford was a 3 custodian who at one time saw Dr. Weber bring a couch into his 4 5 office area. I think that that's irrelevant. I think it only serves for speculation, and there are not witnesses who are 6 7 going to say anything happened on a particular couch in his office. But it certainly leads to speculation of other victims 8 out there and that he was using the couch somehow to sexually assault them. So we're asking --10 11 THE COURT: I thought I ruled on that issue. 12 MR. STEINBERG: If you did, I missed it. apologize. 13 THE COURT: 14 He was a custodian? MR. STEINBERG: Yes. I think that there was a 15 different custodian issue that you ruled about. And it wasn't 16 17 a custodian. It was a guy who saw -- who went to the house. MR. STARNES: That was Mr. Davis. 18 19 MR. STEINBERG: Right. 20 MR. STARNES: Who we ended up not -- we removed him from our witness list. 21 22 THE COURT: He was the maintenance employee. MR. STEINBERG: Yes, sir. 23 24 MR. STARNES: That's correct. 25 THE COURT: Who is Mr. Crawford?

```
MR. STARNES: Mr. Crawford was a maintenance janitor
 1
    at IHS during the time that Dr. Weber was employed there.
 2
 3
    Mr. Steinberg is correct. We intend to call him to testify
    that on occasion he saw Dr. Weber holding a clinic or treating
 4
 5
    patients in his office, not in the actual patient area. And
    there was one day where he saw Dr. Weber bring a couch into his
6
 7
    office area. He went out into the hall, drug the couch, did
    not see a boy come out.
8
              THE COURT: Wait a minute. Mr. Crawford saw
9
    Dr. Weber drag a couch from the hallway into his office?
10
11
              MR. STARNES: Correct. So --
12
              THE COURT: You said he did not see a boy come out.
              MR. STARNES: So I believe he will testify that he
13
   was seeing patients at that time. There were adolescent males
14
    that were being seen by Dr. Weber.
15
              THE COURT: In his office?
16
17
              MR. STARNES: Correct, which was not a patient
18
    treatment area.
              THE COURT: Well, let's -- I want to hear more about
19
    that.
           Maybe when we discuss the other issues.
20
21
              MR. STARNES: Certainly, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Any other witnesses?
22
                              No, sir, thanks.
              MR. STEINBERG:
23
24
              THE COURT: All right. I have the exhibit list.
    It's pretty short. Any objection to the exhibits?
```

```
No, sir.
              MR. STEINBERG:
 1
              THE COURT: Any stipulations on admissibility?
 2
              MR. STARNES: Well, yes, Your Honor.
                                                     I move for the
 3
    admission of Government's Exhibits 1 through 6. I'd be happy
 4
 5
    to identify those for the record if there's no objection from
    the defense.
 6
 7
              MR. STEINBERG:
                              I didn't bring my list in.
              MR. STARNES: I can show you copies too. Maybe I
8
9
    can.
         (Complying.)
10
11
              MR. STEINBERG:
                              I don't have any objection to these,
12
    sir.
              THE COURT: All right.
13
              MR. STARNES:
                            I can identify them.
14
              THE COURT: I will.
                                   I have them right here.
15
    Exhibits 1 through 6 are admitted: That's tribal enrolment
16
    form for G.R.C.; tribal enrolment form for R.F.H.; there's two
17
    Number 3's, Federal Register of recognized tribes; 4 is a 1994
18
    photograph of R.F.H.; 5 is a 1994 photograph of M.F.H.; and 6
19
    is a passport application photograph for Dr. Weber.
20
21
              Those are admitted without objection.
         (Government's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 were admitted
22
         into evidence.)
23
              THE COURT: Any other stipulations?
24
25
              MR. STARNES:
                            No. Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Agreement on the Indian status of R.F.H. 1 and --2 MR. STARNES: No. Well, any objection to the -- or a 3 stipulation as to -- well, I think the way we typically handle 4 5 that, Your Honor, if there's a case out there that could be problematic, is stipulate the admissibility of the enrollment 6 7 records, which is --THE COURT: All right. Then that will be it. 8 Okay. And then jury instructions we'll have to you 9 this afternoon after lunch. We'll have our proposed final 10 instructions so you can start reviewing. We'll settle those 11 later in the week. 12 13 Opening statements: How long do you need? MS. SUEK: 15 minutes. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Steinberg? 15 MR. STEINBERG: That's fine. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 15, 20 minutes. Anything else? 17 18 MR. STARNES: One issue, just we want to have this on 19 the record. We've talked to the defense about this. Since the start on this case, there's been an additional new victim 20 that's been identified. He made a partial disclosure while he 21 was incarcerated in Glacier County, the jail up there. That 22 23 person will not be making an appearance in this case, and the defense knows this. But we're investigating that matter, and 24

we're contemplating a new indictment.

THE COURT: Okay. You have that information? 1 I have it now, and I had it before. MR. STEINBERG: 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. STEINBERG: I do have one other question. 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. MR. STEINBERG: The Court has indicated that it will 6 7 make a determination on the 413/414 witnesses. I would like a day. So I don't know if the Court intends to decide that after it hears from the two victims today. But what I don't want to 10 be in a position is to not have a day to prepare for that. 11 THE COURT: So this is Tuesday. I would assume we'll 12 have a jury picked -- I'd like to get a jury picked before lunch. Sometimes that means we take lunch late. We'll 13 probably start this afternoon, if all goes well, with preliminary instructions and opening statements around 2:00 o'clock. And then so around 3:00 o'clock the government 16 will start putting on witnesses. We typically will go until 17 18 5:00, 5:30, depending. I don't like to stop in the middle of a witness. So I'll explain to the jury that we'll go until 5:00 or 5:30. 20 21 You will have your two alleged victims. Then we'll take a break and discuss -- how long do you think each of those 22 will take? 23 MR. STARNES: I think Mr. Four Horns's testimony will 24 probably be the longest. Based on cross-examination --

THE COURT: I'm assuming --1 MR. STARNES: -- at least an hour. 2 THE COURT: I'm assuming we'll finish those two 3 today, perhaps. 4 5 MR. STEINBERG: Right. THE COURT: And then we can talk at the end of the 6 7 The government can put on the rest of their 404(b) witnesses or Browning witnesses and then the South Dakota witnesses. That would be on Thursday. Late Wednesday, 9 Thursday. 10 MR. STARNES: And most of our --11 12 MS. SUEK: I would think that we would be done by the close of business on Wednesday, unless cross-examination is 13 iust --14 THE COURT: Well, you know the identity of the South 15 Dakota witnesses? 16 17 MR. STEINBERG: Two of them, yes. THE COURT: Well, you know the identity of the 18 413/414 witnesses? 19 20 MR. STEINBERG: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: There's no mystery about that. What do you need a day for? 22 MR. STEINBERG: Just to -- I don't know what they 23 are going to -- obviously, I'm assuming that they're not going 24 to be mentioned in openings unless the Court has --

THE COURT: No. 1 MR. STEINBERG: And I just wanted the night to gather 2 my thoughts in terms of how to approach that. 3 THE COURT: Well, we'll see how much time we -- I'll 4 5 give you some period of time, but you should start gathering those thoughts now. 6 7 MR. STEINBERG: I am. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 8 MR. STARNES: No, Your Honor. 9 10 MR. STEINBERG: No, sir. 11 THE COURT: All right. It's 10 to 9:00. Use the 12 restroom. Get yourself ready to go. We'll get the jury up here. We'll start right at 9:00 o'clock. We'll probably take 13 a break about 10:30. It's a fairly lengthy break. We need to get the whole jury pool out to the bathroom and back in. And then we'll try to get away with one break. We'll see how long 16 voir dire takes. 17 18 All right. See you in court. Thank you. 19 (Whereupon, trial proceedings continued.) 20 21 --000--22 23

24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Yvette Heinze, a Registered Professional
Reporter and Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify that the
foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the
proceedings given at the time and place hereinbefore mentioned;
that the proceedings were reported by me in machine shorthand
and thereafter reduced to typewriting using computer-assisted
transcription; that after being reduced to typewriting, a
certified copy of this transcript will be filed electronically
with the Court.

I further certify that I am not attorney for, nor employed by, nor related to any of the parties or attorneys to this action, nor financially interested in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand at Great Falls, Montana, this 18th day of March, 2019.

/S/ Yvette Heinze

Yvette Heinze United States Court Reporter